UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NADIA SHASH and AMJAD KHAN, *Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated*,

Plaintiff,

v.

BIOGEN INC., MICHEL VOUNATSOS, ALFRED W. SANDROCK, JR., and SAMANTHA BUDD-HAEBERLEIN,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-10479-IT

STIPULATED PROTOCOL FOR DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION ("ESI PROTOCOL")

Plaintiffs Nadia Shash, Amjad Khan, Albert Aftoora, and Raymond Pawloski ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Biogen Inc., Michel Vounatsos and Alfred W. Sandrock, Jr. (collectively, "Defendants," and together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties" and each a "Party"), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following "ESI Protocol" (the "Protocol") regarding the production of electronically stored information ("ESI") and hard copy documents in the above-captioned case (the "Action").

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 1. This Protocol will govern discovery of ESI and hard copy documents in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the District of Massachusetts (the "Local Rules"), this Court's Standing Orders (the "Standing Orders"), and any other applicable orders and rules.
- 2. All documents, including the procedure for clawback of any documents, shall be governed by any confidentiality, protective, and/or clawback orders, or mutual stipulation thereof, entered in this Action.

- 3. The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this matter. The Parties shall follow this Court's Standing Orders with respect to any and all discovery disputes.
- 4. Unless otherwise agreed or directed by the Court, each Party will bear the costs to process and review its own documents according to this Protocol.
- 5. Nothing in this Protocol shall be construed to affect the discoverability or admissibility of any document. All objections to the discoverability or admissibility of any documents are preserved and may be asserted at any time in accordance with the applicable Federal Rules of Evidence, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and/or Local Rules or Standing Orders of this Court.
- 6. Each production of ESI shall be accompanied by correspondence indicating the Bates range, the custodians, and any applicable passwords to access the production.

II. PRESERVATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY RELEVANT INFORMATION AND ESI

- 1. The Parties agree that the allowable scope of discovery is all nonprivileged documents that are relevant to any Party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. The Parties agree that only unique, non-duplicative sources of relevant documents need to be preserved.
- 2. Consistent with the Parties' obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Parties will meet and confer regarding any issues involving preservation, such as, for example, relating to categories or sources of information that are not reasonably accessible or cannot reasonably be preserved because of undue burden or costs as well as categories or sources of responsive information subject to data privacy or protection laws, or relevance considering the proportionality factors in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1).

3. Nothing in this ESI Protocol shall affect the Parties' respective preservation obligations imposed by rule or law.

III. ESI LIAISONS

1. The Parties have agreed to appropriate liaisons for each such Party who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI.

IV. SEARCH, COLLECTION & REVIEW

- 1. The Parties agree that each producing Party will take reasonable steps to identify, review, and produce relevant documents. The Parties further agree that each producing Party is best situated to evaluate and utilize the procedures, methodologies, and technologies appropriate to identify, review, and produce its own documents. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding custodians, search terms, date ranges, and custodial and non-custodial data sources that each Party intends to use to identify responsive, discoverable Documents within the Parties' respective possession, custody, or control for review to ensure discovery will be reasonable and proportional. The Parties may use advanced search, analytical, and retrieval technologies like predictive coding or other technology-assisted review ("TAR") in order to prioritize the review of or the grouping of documents requested or returned from the use of search terms, date ranges, electronic sources, or other filters, and agree to disclose any use of TAR.
- 2. While this Protocol is intended to address the majority of documents and data sources handled in this Action, there may be situations where the Parties come into contact with more complex, non-traditional or legacy data sources, such as ESI from social media, ephemeral messaging systems, collaboration tools, mobile device apps and modern cloud sources. In the event such data sources are relevant, the Parties agree to take reasonable efforts to appropriately address the complexities introduced by such ESI, including, when appropriate, to meet and confer

regarding relevant production format. Additionally, if particular responsive ESI not otherwise addressed in this protocol warrants a format different than those addressed herein, the Parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree upon a mutually-acceptable format.

V. PRODUCTION FORMATS FOR DOCUMENTS FROM HARD COPY SOURCES

- 1. Except for redacted and logged documents as described in Section VIII.2 of this Protocol, *see infra*, the Parties will produce documents originating from hard copy sources in Group IV single-page TIFF format (black and white, 300 dpi) with corresponding searchable multi-page optical character recognition ("OCR") text, along with the below-listed metadata fields when available, unless the producing Party determines that the utility of the OCR is outweighed by the expense. Settings such as "auto-skewing" and "auto-rotation" should be turned on during the OCR process. If an original document contains color, it need not be produced in color in the first instance. However, the producing Party will honor reasonable requests for a color image, if color is necessary to understand the meaning or content of the document. Color documents shall be produced as single-page, 300 DPI JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting to as to preserve legibility. The Parties agree not to degrade the searchability or legibility of documents as part of the document production process.
- 2. The Parties will provide a standardized load file compatible with Relativity and with a Bates number field included in the load file to match text and metadata with TIFF/JPG images. With respect to hard copy documents, data on the load file will include the following metadata or their equivalents (when available):
 - a. BEGDOC: Begin Document Bates number;
 - b. ENDDOC: End Document Bates number;
 - c. BEGATTACH: Begin Bates number of family unit;
 - d. ENDATTACH: End Bates number of family unit;

- e. CUSTODIAN: Individual from whom the document originated;
- f. CONFIDENTIALITY: User-generated field that will indicate confidentiality (i.e., CONFIDENTIAL) pursuant to the protective order entered in this litigation ("Protective Order," Dkt. No. XX). Otherwise, blank;
- g. PGCOUNT: Number of pages in a document;
- h. REDACTED: (Y/N);
- i. TEXTLINK: Contains Path to .TXT files.

VI. PRODUCTION FORMATS FOR ESI

1. Except for redacted and logged documents as described in Section VIII.2 of this Protocol, *infra*, the Parties will produce documents originating as ESI in TIFF format, with the exception of spreadsheets, PowerPoint (subject to Section VIII.11 below), audio, and video files, which shall be produced in native format. ESI shall be produced with extracted text, along with the below listed metadata fields, where reasonably available. If an original document contains color, it need not be produced in color in the first instance. However, the producing Party will honor reasonable requests for a color image, if color is necessary to understand the meaning or content of the document. Color documents should be produced as single-page, 300 DPI JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. TIFFs/JPGs will show any and all text and images, including track changes where applicable, that would be visible to the reader using the native software that created the document. For example, TIFFs/JPGs of email messages should include the BCC line. If the image does not accurately reflect the document as it was kept in the usual course of business, including all comments, edits, tracking, etc., the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith on production format options. Compressed file types (e.g., .ZIP, .RAR, .CAB, .Z) should be decompressed so that the lowest level document or file is extracted. The Parties will provide a standardized load file compatible with Relativity. All documents shall be processed using Eastern Standard Time ("EST") time zone.

2. With respect to ESI, data on the load file will include the following metadata fields or their equivalents (when reasonably available):

Field Name	Description
BEGDOC	Begin Document Bates number
ENDDOC	End Document Bates number
BEGATTACH	Begin Bates number of family unit
ENDATTACH	End Bates number of family unit
CUSTODIAN	Individual from whom the document originated
ALL CUSTODIAN	Name of the agreed-upon custodians, in addition to the primary custodian, from whose files the item would have been produced if it had not been de-duplicated
FROM	Author of the email message
ТО	Recipient(s) of the email message
CC	Recipient(s) of "Carbon Copies" of the e- mail message
BCC	Recipient(s) of "Blind Carbon Copies" of the email message
DOCUMENT SUBJECT	Subject field extracted from the metadata of the native file
EMAIL SUBJECT	Subject field extracted from the metadata of an email file
DOCUMENT TITLE	The title of a document
DOCUMENT AUTHOR	Author field extracted from the metadata of the native file
FILE EXTENSION	File extension of a document
CREATED DATE	Date file was created
CREATED TIME	Time file was created
LAST MODIFIED DATE	Date document was last modified
LAST MODIFIED TIME	Time document was last modified
EMAIL SENT DATE	Date email was sent
EMAIL SENT TIME	Time email was sent
EMAIL RECEIVED DATE	Date email was received
EMAIL RECEIVED TIME	Time email was received

DATE ADDODITMENT OF A DE	Canada and a canad
DATE APPOINTMENT START	Start date of calendar appointment entry
TIME APPOINTMENT START	Start time of calendar appointment entry
DATE APPOINTMENT END	End date of calendar appointment entry
TIME APPOINTMENT END	End time of calendar appointment entry
LAST EDITED BY	Name of the last person to edit the document from extracted metadata
ACCESSED BY	Name of each person who accessed the document and the times(s) each such person first and last accessed the document
PGCOUNT	Number of pages in a document (image records)
FILE SIZE	File size in bytes
LOGICALPATH	Original logical path location of the document in the repository from which it was collected
FILE TYPE	File Type: email, image, spreadsheet, presentation, etc.
MD5 HASH	Unique identifier of the file
FILE NAME	Original name of the file or subject of email, including file extension
CONFIDENTIALITY	User-generated field that will indicate confidentiality pursuant to the Protective Order (i.e., CONFIDENTIAL). Otherwise, blank.
REDACTED	YES if applicable. Otherwise, blank.
TECHNICAL ISSUE	YES where slip sheet reads "Technical issue—file cannot be processed." Otherwise, blank.
TEXTLINK	Contains Path to .TXT files
NATIVELINK	Contains Path to native files

3. For ESI other than email and electronic documents that do not conform to the metadata listed above, the Parties will meet and confer as to the appropriate metadata fields to be produced.

VII. MODIFICATION

1. This protocol may be modified by written agreement of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

1. Objections Preserved

A. Nothing in this Protocol shall be interpreted to require disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privileges or protection from disclosure (collectively, the "Privileges" and each a "Privilege"). Except as provided expressly herein, the Parties do not waive any objections as to the production, discoverability, authenticity, admissibility, or confidentiality of documents and ESI. A producing Party may assert any applicable Privilege or protection over produced documents as provided for in the Protective Order.

2. Privileged Documents, Redacted Documents, and Privilege Logs

- A. To the extent that a Party reasonably determines that one or more responsive documents are not discoverable on the basis of a Privilege or Privileges, the Party shall provide a log, treating each document withheld or redacted for Privilege, that sets forth in separate columns (with the exception that the field "Email Subject" may be redacted for privilege):
 - i. an assigned "Log Entry" Number;
 - ii. the Custodian;
 - iii. the sent date of email documents;
 - iv. the Email Subject, for email documents;
 - v. the Creation Date, for non-email documents;
 - vi. the File Name, for non-email documents;
 - vii. the File Extension;

- viii. the File Type;
- ix. the Last Modified Date;
- x. the Privilege(s) or other protection claimed and basis for the claim;
- xi. the name of the author(s)/addresser(s); 1
- xii. the addressee(s);
- xiii. all carbon copied (CC) recipients;
- xiv. all blind carbon copied (BCC) recipients;
- xv. an indication of which, if any, author/addresser, addressee(s), CC recipients, and BCC recipients identified is an attorney (or an employee of any Party's legal department). If there is no attorney listed as the author or recipient of a document on the privilege log, the name of the attorney(s) or privilege actor(s) whose advice is being sought, provided, or reflected, or whose work product is included or reflected shall be identified; and
- xvi. a description of the general subject matter contained in the document and the type of document (e.g., letter, email, memorandum, handwritten notes, etc.) sufficient to allow the requesting Party to assess the claimed Privilege and/or to allow the Court to rule upon the applicability of the claimed protection.
- B. The following categories of documents need not be logged unless otherwise requested by a Party:
 - a. Documents or portions thereof that reflect communications with the undersigned counsel, members of their law firms, or any Party's in-house

For emails, this information should be populated with the metadata extracted from the email "FROM" field associated with the file. For other ESI, this should be populated with the metadata extracted from the "DOCUMENT AUTHOR" field.

_

counsel that are related to this litigation, created after November 13, 2021; and

- b. Work product created by any of the undersigned firms, agent of the undersigned firms, or a Plaintiff at the direction of The Rosen Law Firm, P.A., on behalf of the Plaintiffs, or work product created by any of the undersigned firms, an agent of the undersigned firms, or a Defendant at the direction of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP and/or Latham & Watkins LLP, on behalf of the Defendants, that is related to this litigation.
- c. For the avoidance of doubt, to the extent a Party requests that documents responsive to a particular document request be logged if withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege, work product, or some other privilege or protection, the producing Party must log such documents.
- C. Except as set forth herein, responsive documents shall not be withheld or redacted on any basis other than the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other recognized protection or Privilege. In addition, a Party may redact the following personally sensitive or identifiable information: bank and brokerage account numbers; social security numbers; personal addresses; personal telephone numbers; other personal identifying information; and the names of minor children. Whenever a Party redacts personally sensitive or identifiable information, the Party shall indicate that the applied redaction is for personally identifiable information (e.g., "redacted-PII"). If the nature of the PII is not reasonably discernable from the document itself, then the Producing Party shall so indicate on the document itself (e.g. "personal phone number of X"). Upon reasonable request by the receiving Party, the producing Party will provide the category of PII being redacted. To the extent that the producing Party seeks to apply

redactions to responsive documents beyond the categories listed here, including for other sensitive information, the Parties will meet and confer. For redacted items that were originally ESI, all metadata fields noted in this Protocol that do not contain Privileged or protected information will be provided and will include all non-redacted data.

- D. Privilege logs shall be produced as searchable, editable, Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. The Parties agree to meet and confer about methods and approaches to reduce a producing Party's burden in furnishing a privilege log, where reasonably necessary.
- E. The inadvertent failure to log Privileged documents will not result in the waiver of Privilege, provided that upon discovering the inadvertent omission, the producing Party provides notice to the requesting Party of the inadvertent failure to log promptly upon discovering the inadvertent omission, and within a reasonable time thereafter, sends the requesting Party an addendum to the appropriate privilege log providing the required privilege log entries for the document(s).
- F. The Parties will produce redacted documents in TIFF format with corresponding searchable OCR text and associated metadata for the documents, ensuring the redacted content is fully protected from disclosure. To the extent spreadsheets contain redacted text, the Parties shall provide a copy of the native file with redactions.
- G. If the Party receiving the privilege log requires further information to allow the receiving Party to assess the claimed Privilege, it shall explain in writing the need for such information and identify, by Bates number or other unique identifier, each document for which it seeks this information. Within a reasonable time of such a request, the producing Party must either (i) provide the requested information or (ii) challenge the request. If a Party challenges a request for further information, the Parties shall meet and confer to try to reach a mutually agreeable

solution. If they cannot agree, the Parties must proceed in accordance with the discovery dispute procedures reflected in the applicable Local Rules and Standing Orders.

3. Hard Copy Document Storage

A. During the pendency of this litigation, the Parties shall make reasonable efforts to preserve the originals of all hard copy documents as to which there may be issues of legibility of all or any part of the production copy. Each Party reserves the right to request to inspect such original documents of the opposing Party or Parties if reasonably necessary due to issues of legibility of all or any part of the production copy, and such request shall not be unreasonably denied.

4. Reproduction as Natives

A. The Parties agree that to the extent any Party seeks production in native format of specifically identified ESI produced originally in TIFF form for good cause shown, the producing Party shall respond reasonably and in good faith to any such request.

5. De-Duplication and Document Families

A. The Parties will perform de-duplication of ESI within and across custodians according to MD5 or SHA-1 hash values at a family level and will produce only a single copy of identical ESI, except where de-duplication would break up document families. De-duplication shall not break up document families. The custodian associated with the first copy of a document processed will be considered the primary custodian for that document (the custodian who will be used as the basis for determining which other collected documents are duplicates). The producing Party shall produce an "All Custodians" metadata field with each production as specified in Section VI above which lists every custodian or source who or which possessed a duplicate document. If the Parties de-duplicate ESI, they shall provide custodian associations in a semi-colon

delimited field that includes duplicate custodian name information. If additional documents are produced after substantial completion of document discovery, the producing Party shall produce an overlay that provides an updated "All Custodian" field at the time new productions are made. At any time, however, if a producing Party has not provided current "All Custodian" data and a receiving Party believes in good faith that "All Custodian" data is necessary for a scheduled deposition, filing deadline, or other case event, the receiving Party shall inform the producing Party of such belief and request updated "All Custodian" data, and the producing Party shall, upon such request, produce updated "All Custodian" data sufficiently in advance of that event.

B. If there are any handwritten notes, or any other markings, on a document, it shall not be considered a duplicate. Any document that contains an alteration, marking on, or addition to the original document shall be treated as a distinct version, and shall be produced as such. These alterations include, but are not limited to, handwritten notes, electronic notes/tabs, edits, highlighting or redlining. If such markings/alterations are made in color, the documents must, to the extent reasonably practicable, be produced in color as originally intended.

6. <u>Preservation of Parent-Child Relationships</u>

A. The Parties shall preserve parent-child relationships (the association between an attachment and its parent document). The Parties will provide a Begin Bates number of family unit (BEGATTACH) and End Bates number of family unit (ENDATTACH) for each produced attachment in the data load file. If any member of a document "family" (e.g., an email attachment) is withheld as Privileged, then the producing Party shall produce a one-page slipsheet TIFF image stating, "Document Withheld," and bearing a unique Bates number that is immediately after the Bates numbers assigned to the other members of the family.

7. <u>Bates Numbering</u>

A. The Parties shall assign a Bates number to individual pages of TIFF documents and a Bates number to each document produced in native format. Bates numbers shall be unique across the entire document production and sequential within a given document. All volumes of production shall be identified by the same Bates prefix and a numerical sequence.

8. Additional Formatting Processes

- A. Any producing Party may De-NIST their electronic collections before searching and review using the then-current NIST list published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The Parties will meet and confer on the necessity of additional formatting processes to enhance the production of ESI including but not limited to: (a) additional ESI metadata and coding fields; and (b) imaging specifications for Excel and PowerPoint files, to the extent not produced in native format.
- B. To the extent that relevant ESI cannot be rendered or reviewed without the use of proprietary or special software, the Parties shall meet and confer to minimize any expense or burden associated with the production of such documents or information in an acceptable format, including issues as may arise with respect to obtaining access to any such software.
- C. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that all encrypted or password-protected ESI are successfully processed prior to production. To the extent encrypted or password-protected ESI are successfully processed, the Parties have no duty to identify further the prior encrypted status of such documents. To the extent security protection for such ESI cannot be successfully processed despite reasonable efforts, the Parties shall not be required to produce them.
- D. If a member of a document family that has otherwise been determined to be responsive cannot be technically processed (e.g., unsupported file format, file corruption,

inaccessible password-protected document) using reasonable efforts, those technical problems shall be identified and disclosed to the receiving Party by production of a Bates numbered slip sheet that states "Technical issue—file cannot be processed." A receiving Party thereafter may raise with the producing Party any questions or concerns, and the Parties shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve any issues.

9. Confidentiality Designations

A. If a document or other ESI has a confidentiality designation, the designation shall be stamped on the face of all TIFF images pertaining to such document pursuant to the Protective Order. If the receiving Party believes that a confidentiality designation obscures the content of a document, then the receiving Party may request that the document be produced with the confidentiality designation in a different position. No Party may attach to any filing or any correspondence addressed to the Court (including any Magistrate Judge), or any adverse or third party, or submit as an exhibit at a deposition or any other judicial proceeding, a copy (whether electronic or otherwise) of any native format document produced by any party without ensuring that the corresponding Bates number and confidentiality legend, as designated by the producing Party, appears on the document or in the filename, if used in native format. For each document that is marked confidential, a confidentiality field will be populated with the word "Confidential" in the .DAT file.

10. Third Party Documents and ESI

A. A Party that issues a subpoena ("Issuing Party") upon any third-party shall include a copy of this Protocol and the Protective Order with the subpoena and shall state that the Parties to this Action have requested that the third-party produce documents in accordance with

the specifications set forth herein. The Issuing Party shall produce a copy to all other Parties of any ESI (including any metadata) obtained under subpoena to a third-party.

B. If a third-party production is not Bates-stamped, the Issuing Party will endorse the third-party production with unique Bates prefixes and numbering scheme prior to reproducing them to all other Parties.

11. Embedded and Linked Documents

Embedded files shall be produced as attachments to the document that contained the embedded file, with the parent/child relationship preserved in accordance with Section VIII.6 of this Protocol, supra. Where reasonably feasible, non-image embedded ESI documents (e.g., a spreadsheet embedded within a word processing document or an audio file in a PowerPoint presentation), will be extracted, produced as independent document records, and related back to the respective top level parent document (e.g., standalone file, email message, etc.) via the BEGDOC and ENDDOC fields referenced in Section VI. Related embedded documents will be produced within a continuous Bates range. The Parties agree logos need not be extracted as separate documents as long as they are displayed in the parent document. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree that, to the extent that a Powerpoint file links to one or more non-image embedded spreadsheet(s) for which the responsive information is displayed within the parent Powerpoint file, such embedded spreadsheet(s) may be produced as a Bates-stamped single-page TIFF placeholder stating "Embedded Spreadsheet Not Produced." The Parties agree that documents that are referenced or shared via hyperlink to a nonpublic fileshare or other shared repository accessible to the Responding Party (e.g., Google Drive, OneDrive, DropBox, Slack) need not be produced. To the extent the Receiving Party reasonably believes that a non-produced embedded file or link is relevant, the Parties agree to meet and confer.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD

Dated: July 16, 2025

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

/s/ Audra J. Soloway

Theodore V. Wells, Jr. (pro hac vice)
Audra J. Soloway (pro hac vice)
Richard C. Tarlowe (pro hac vice)
Daniel S. Sinnreich (pro hac vice)
Samantha A. Bui (pro hac vice)
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
Telephone: (212) 373-3000

Fax: (212) 757-3990

Email: twells@paulweiss.com
Email: asoloway@paulweiss.com
Email: rtarlowe@paulweiss.com
Email: dsinnreich@paulweiss.com
Email: sbui@paulweiss.com

LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP

/s/ William J. Trach

William J. Trach (BBO#661401)

200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116

Telephone: (617) 948-6000

Fax: (617) 948-6001

Email: william.trach@lw.com

Counsel for Defendants

THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.

/s/ Jonathan Horne

Laurence M. Rosen (pro hac vice) Jonathan Horne (pro hac vice) Joshua Baker (BBO #695561) Sara Fuks (pro hac vice)

275 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor

New York, NY 10006 Tel: (212) 686-1060 Fax: (212) 202-3827

Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com Email: jhorne@rosenlegal.com Email: jbaker@rosenlegal.com Email: sfuks@rosenlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 16th day of July, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served upon all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Audra J. Soloway
Audra J. Soloway